

## DISPATCH

### Animal Navigation: The Eel's Magnetic Guide to the Gulf Stream

Miguel Baltazar-Soares<sup>1\*</sup> and Christophe Eizaguirre<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Science and Technology, Bournemouth University, Christchurch House, Talbot Campus, Poole, Dorset BH12 5BB, UK

<sup>2</sup>Queen Mary University of London, School of Biological and Chemical Sciences, Mile End Road, E14NS, London, UK

\*E-mail:mbaltazarsoares@bournemouth.ac.uk

The geographic distribution of migratory species can span thousands of kilometers. Yet, traits that enable large-scale migrations are poorly understood. A recent study demonstrates that juvenile eels use the Earth's magnetism for their dispersal, with possible implications for their evolution.

The marine environment is rife with species that perform incredible migrations to accomplish their life cycle: whether to spawn, to seek for nursery areas, or simply to forage. Migratory movements tend to be extremely accurate towards particular geographic locations. For many species, migration routes are not learned but are innate, which requires organisms to possess internal orientation mechanisms. Magneto-sensing — the capacity to perceive the Earth's magnetic field — is pervasive across kingdoms [1-7]: from magneto-tactic bacteria, to nematodes (*Caenorhabditis elegans*), crustaceans (spiny lobster), fishes (salmon), birds (pigeon), mammals (mole-rat) and reptiles (sea turtle; Figure1). Like small magnets, the entire Earth is polarized with magnetic fields converging near the poles, a phenomenon driven by the motion of the Earth's liquid core [8]. While the geomagnetic fields are rather regular, anomalies exist because of movement of the upper crust (e.g. volcanism), and can be altered, for instance, by electromagnetic radiation from the Sun. Those changes, however, are small compared to the regular magnetic field. Therefore, the Earth magnetic field is a reliable source of navigational information, especially if we consider the large open ocean. In a recent paper, Naisbett-Jones *et al.* [9] suggest that dispersal of juvenile eels

from the spawning area into the Gulf Stream is unlikely to be entirely due to passive drift. Instead, dispersal seems to also rely on the capacity to derive positional information from the geomagnetic field: juvenile eels recognize and orientate their swimming using magnetic cues similar to those present in the Sargasso Sea and North West Atlantic area (where the Gulf Stream trifurcates). However, the authors also found that glass eels, the early life stage of this species, do not rely on the geomagnetic signal of the Eastern Atlantic and coastal locations to identify freshwater systems.

The European eel (*Anguilla anguilla*) is one of 16 species of eels. All eels perform two trans-oceanic migrations to complete their life cycle, from and to the spawning grounds [10]. Their life cycle is characterized by the development of highly specialized traits through major ontogenic shifts: neonates develop into leptocephali, an early life stage with a gelatinous, leaf-like body that facilitates passive drift. Arriving at the continental shelf, leptocephali metamorphose into glass eels through compression of the body [11]. Upon entering, feeding and remaining in freshwater systems, glass eels change into yellow eels, the adult form. These animals may live up to 20 years until the final metamorphosis takes place, which is accompanied by the maturation of sexual organs and triggers the spawning migration [11].

European eels spawn in the Sargasso Sea, 5000 kilometers away from the European freshwater system, where it grows and matures. The Sargasso Sea is a large region in the Northwest Atlantic Ocean that supports high primary production and higher levels of biodiversity than the surrounding oceanic environments [12]. It is delimited in the North by the Gulf Stream, in the East by the Azores current, South by the Equatorial current and on the West side by the Caribbean currents [12]. The Gulf Stream plays a key role in the European eel's life cycle, as it connects the spawning and the foraging area of the species. Entering the Gulf Stream immediately after hatching increases the dispersal success of early life stages and hence recruitment [13].

Naisbett-Jones *et al.* [9] found that juvenile eels sense both the field intensity and the magnetic inclination of the Earth. These results bring evidence for glass eels to possess a magnetic map based on the inclination and intensity of the magnetic field, a bi-coordinate orientation system, perhaps similar to that previously reported in sea turtles [7]. However, one could argue that leptocephali, the life-stage present in the Sargasso Sea, may not possess that same magnetic-sensing ability as the glass eels used in the experiment. This is because the metamorphosis from one life stage to another involves a substantial body rearrangement and related physiological changes [11]. Certainly, more studies are needed to clarify whether magneto-sensing is present in all life stages. It is known though, that adult

eels are magneto-sensitive [14]. Therefore, a contribution of the study by Naisbett-Jones *et al.* [9] is that magnetic cues are used in at different stages of the species' life cycle.

If species have evolved adaptations to cryptic selective pressures within the oceanic environment as the eels did, this is because Oceans are not homogenous water masses. Decrypting the eel's movement ecology gets us closer to elucidate their population structure and evolution. The ability to sense and follow the Earth's magnetic field could very well be the speculative mechanism that mediates the spawning migration, i.e. the return to the Sargasso Sea. Therefore, an implication of the results by Naisbett-Jones and co-workers [9] relates to the paradigmatic view that eels use a panmictic, i.e. random, mode of reproduction [15, 16]. The few alternative hypotheses to panmixia suggest a temporal displacement of genetically distinct cohorts of progenitors [17] or a spatial segregation within the Sargasso Sea of genetically distinct spawning groups, with highly philopatric adult females and male-mediated gene flow among groups [13].

Magneto-sensing in the eel offers possible evolutionary scenarios for the existence of sub-populations in the Sargasso Sea. In order to identify how they need to orientate their swimming, eels need to know where they are. Hence it is conceivable that they might imprint on their natal area, similar to sea turtles [18]. Given the large extent of the Sargasso Sea, eels may imprint on specific locations (using their bi-coordinate system), and achieve an accuracy as high as turtles, down to a few tens of kilometers [19] upon their spawning migration. Consequently, the Sargasso Sea would be perceived as a heterogeneous spawning ground with several reproductive units.

When the population size is high, the genetic cohesiveness among spawning sites would be maintained: the unsynchronized spawning migration of mature eels due to the productivity of freshwater system, latitude or sex, would ensure a continuous supply of breeders to all spawning areas. From a population genetic perspective, this gene flow would be equivalent to panmixia. On the other hand, if the population undergoes a drastic reduction in size, the lack of spawners would effectively fragment the Sargasso Sea, reducing connectivity among the putative spawning areas. Under these conditions, low gene flow increases genetic differentiation, and especially so if population size remains low for several generations. European eels experienced a major collapse in recruitment that occurred during the early 1980s and the population failed to recover its population size to the pre-decline levels [20]. Together with their newly discovered geomagnetic-sensing ability, scenarios of population structure at the Sargasso sea driven by habitat fragmentation become plausible.


The study of Naisbett-Jones *et al.* [9] offers fresh perspectives on eel biology. If correct, it would be interesting to know whether magnetic sensing abilities vary between sexes and how accurate it is. This would be relevant to further dismiss or accept the proposed hypothesis of eel female philopatry and further reveal the likelihood of a structured Sargasso Sea. Further studies may also investigate the molecular mechanism of such sensing and their universality across a broad range of taxa.

## References

1. Blakemore, R.P. (1982). Magnetotactic bacteria. *Annual Reviews in Microbiology* 36, 217-238.
2. Vidal-Gadea, A., Ward, K., Beron, C., Ghorashian, N., Gokce, S., Russell, J., Truong, N., Parikh, A., Gadea, O., and Ben-Yakar, A. (2015). Magnetosensitive neurons mediate geomagnetic orientation in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Elife* 4, e07493.
3. Boles, L.C., and Lohmann, K.J. (2003). True navigation and magnetic maps in spiny lobsters. *Nature* 421, 60-63.
4. Putman, N.F., Scanlan, M.M., Billman, E.J., O'Neil, J.P., Couture, R.B., Quinn, T.P., Lohmann, K.J., and Noakes, D.L. (2014). An inherited magnetic map guides ocean navigation in juvenile Pacific salmon. *Current Biology* 24, 446-450.
5. Wu, L.-Q., and Dickman, J.D. (2012). Neural correlates of a magnetic sense. *science* 336, 1054-1057.
6. Marhold, S., Burda, H., Kreilos, I., and Wiltschko, W. (1997). Magnetic orientation in common mole-rats from Zambia. *Orientation and navigation—birds, humans and other animals*, 5-1.
7. Putman, N.F., Endres, C.S., Lohmann, C.M., and Lohmann, K.J. (2011). Longitude perception and bicoordinate magnetic maps in sea turtles. *Current Biology* 21, 463-466.
8. Wiltschko, R., and Wiltschko, W. (2003). Avian navigation: from historical to modern concepts. *Animal behaviour* 65, 257-272.
9. Naisbett-Jones, L.C., Putman, N.F., Stephenson, J.F., Ladak, S., and Young, K.A. A Magnetic Map Leads Juvenile European Eels to the Gulf Stream. *Current Biology* 27, 1236-1240.
10. Minegishi, Y., Aoyama, J., Inoue, J.G., Miya, M., Nishida, M., and Tsukamoto, K. (2005). Molecular phylogeny and evolution of the freshwater eels genus *Anguilla* based on the whole mitochondrial genome sequences. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 34, 134-146.
11. Tesch, F. (2003). *The Eel*, (Oxford: Blackwell Sciences Ltd).
12. Venter, J.C., Remington, K., Heidelberg, J.F., Halpern, A.L., Rusch, D., Eisen, J.A., Wu, D., Paulsen, I., Nelson, K.E., and Nelson, W. (2004). Environmental genome shotgun sequencing of the Sargasso Sea. *science* 304, 66-74.
13. Baltazar-Soares, M., Biastoch, A., Harrod, C., Hanel, R., Marohn, L., Prigge, E., Evans, D., Bodles, K., Behrens, E., Böning, Claus W., et al. (2014). Recruitment Collapse and Population Structure of the European Eel Shaped by Local Ocean Current Dynamics. *Current biology : CB* 24, 104-108.
14. Durif, C.M.F., Browman, H.I., Phillips, J.B., Skiftesvik, A.B., Asbjørn Vøllestad, L., and Stockhausen, H.H. (2013). Magnetic Compass Orientation in the European Eel. *PLoS ONE* 8, e59212.
15. Als, T.D., Hansen, M.M., Maes, G.E., Castonguay, M., Riemann, L., Aarestrup, K., Munk, P., Sparholt, H., Hanel, R., and Bernatchez, L. (2011). All roads lead to home: panmixia of European eel in the Sargasso Sea. *Molecular Ecology* 20, 1333-1346.

16. Pujolar, J.M., Jacobsen, M., Als, T.D., Frydenberg, J., Munch, K., Jonsson, B., Jian, J.B., Cheng, L., Maes, G.E., and Bernatchez, L. (2014). Genome-wide single-generation signatures of local selection in the panmictic European eel. *Molecular Ecology* 23, 2514-2528.
17. Dannewitz, J., Maes, G.E., Johansson, L., Wickström, H., Volckaert, F.A.M., and Järvi, T. (2005). Panmixia in the European eel: a matter of time. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 272, 1129-1137.
18. Brothers, J.R., and Lohmann, K.J. (2015). Evidence for geomagnetic imprinting and magnetic navigation in the natal homing of sea turtles. *Current Biology* 25, 392-396.
19. Stiebens, V.A., Merino, S.E., Roder, C., Chain, F.J., Lee, P.L., and Eizaguirre, C. (2013). Living on the edge: how philopatry maintains adaptive potential. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences* 280, 20130305.
20. Miller, M.J., Bonhommeau, S., Munk, P., Castonguay, M., Hanel, R., and McCleave, J.D. (2015). A century of research on the larval distributions of the Atlantic eels: a re-examination of the data. *Biological Reviews* 90, 1035-1064.

Figure 1. Magnetic orientation in animals.



Current Biology

Schematic phylogeny of species known to use the Earth's magnetic fields for movements and/or orientations. The direction of magnetic vectors (right arrows) and their intensity (proportional to the length of the right arrows) is represented. The magnetic field lines leave the surface of the Earth at the Southern magnetic pole (pointing upwards), run around the globe being parallel to the magnetic equator, and re-enter at the Northern magnetic pole

(pointing downward). The geographic poles (North N and South S) as well as the magnetic poles (Nm and Sm) are depicted

Figure 2. Glass eel catch from estuarine area.



Photo kindly provided by Dr. Derek Evans, AFBI, UK